Psychometric Properties of the Patient Health Questionnaire–15 (PHQ–15) for Measuring the Somatic Symptoms of Psychiatric Outpatients

Changsu Han, M.D., M.H.S., Chi-Un Pae, M.D. Ashwin A. Patkar, M.D., Prakash S. Masand, M.D. Ki Woong Kim, M.D., Sook-Haeng Joe, M.D. In-Kwa Jung, M.D.

Background: About 2% to 5% of all primary-care patients have a somatization disorder, and somatic symptoms are strongly associated with comorbid depression and anxiety disorders. **Objective:** The authors evaluated the validity of the 15-item Somatization module of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-15) among psychiatric outpatients. **Method:** The PHQ-15 was administered to patients with somatic complaints; it was compared with the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and the General Health Questionnaire-12 (GHQ-12). Fifty-seven Korean subjects completed the survey. **Results:** The PHQ-15 exhibited significant internal consistency, and test-retest reliability. Convergent validity with the BDI and GHQ-12 were positive. **Conclusion:** These results indicate that the Korean version of the PHQ-15 is appropriate for measuring the severity of somatic symptoms in a psychiatric outpatient setting.

(Psychosomatics 2009; 50:580-585)

Somatic symptoms are ubiquitous within every medical clinic, and 2% to 5% of all primary-care patients have a somatization disorder. Somatic complaints are estimated to account for more than 400 million clinic visits in the United States annually. More

Received June 27, 2007; revised August 31, 2007; accepted September 12, 2007. From the Dept. of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, Korea University, Seoul, South Korea; the Dept. of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC; the Dept. of Psychiatry, Kangnam St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea College of Medicine, Seoul, South Korea; and the Dept. of Neuropsychiatry, Seoul National University College of Medicine and Seoul National University Bundang Hospital, South Korea. Address correspondence and reprint requests to Dr. Changsu Han, Dept. of Psychiatry, College of Medicine, Korea University Medical Center, 516 Gojan-Dong, Ansan City, Gyunggi Province 425-707, South Korea. e-mail: hancs@korea.ac.kr, or Dept. of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Duke University Medical Center, 2218 Elder St., 2B, Suite 203, Durham, NC 27705. e-mail: changsu.han@duke.edu

© 2009 The Academy of Psychosomatic Medicine

than half of all medical outpatients complain of somatic symptoms, one-third of which are medically unexplained. 4,5 These somatic symptoms are strongly associated with comorbid psychiatric disorders such as depression and anxiety disorders.6 Medically unexplained somatic symptoms may negatively influence patients by deleteriously affecting treatment outcomes of comorbid psychiatric disorders,7 reducing quality of life, and causing functional impairment.^{3,8} Somatic symptoms are also an important indicator of subsequent mood disorders, indicating the importance of appropriate and early intervention to treat these symptoms. 9 At least 25% of all patients continue to exhibit all somatic symptoms at a 1-year follow-up visit, and symptoms are chronic or recurrent in 20% to 25% of all patients, even if the depression or anxiety disorder is in remission.^{6,10} Clinicians must accurately measure the severity of somatic symptoms during treatment, and thus a brief, validated, less time-consuming assessment is vital especially within a clinical setting. The Symptom Checklist-90 (SCL-90)¹¹ and the State University of New York at Albany (SUNYA) Psychosomatic Symptom Checklist¹² are examples of self-report questionnaires used to assess current somatic symptoms. These scales were developed to measure current somatic distress and are useful for research into the treatment outcomes of somatoform disorders. However, it takes a relatively long time to complete these scales, making analysis difficult within an actual clinical or community setting. In particular, the SUNYA Psychosomatic Symptom Checklist produces only modest reliability, poor discriminant validity, and a multifactor structure within a chronic-pain population.¹³

The 15-item version of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-15) is a somatic symptom severity subscale derived from the full PHQ. It assesses 15 somatic symptoms or symptom clusters that account for more than 90% of all physical complaints (excluding upper respiratory tract symptoms) reported by outpatients. 14 Each item is rated on a scale from 0 to 2. Scoring simply requires adding the numbers circled, and scores can range from 0 to 30. The PHQ-15 has already been validated in primary-care settings. 14,15 Previous studies found that the PHQ-15 exhibited good internal consistency (α =0.80) and corresponded to criterion indices of physical dysfunction, disability days, clinical visits, and amount of difficulty that patients attributed to their symptoms. 14 The original PHQ16 was designed for use in primary-care settings as a self-report instrument to diagnose mental disorders, using criteria from the DSM-IV.17 The PHQ assesses four specific DSM-IV diagnoses (major depressive disorder, panic disorder, other anxiety disorder, and bulimia nervosa) and four subthreshold disorders (other depressive disorders, probable alcohol abuse/dependence, somatoform, and binge eating disorder). The questionnaire is only three pages long, and can be entirely self-administered by patients. 16 Several studies have established its diagnostic validity. 16,18 The PHQ has been translated into many languages and has been applied to many sample groups, but it has not been translated into Korean or validated in any Korean psychiatric setting.

In this study, we evaluated the reliability and validity of the PHQ-15 when used to measure the severity of somatic symptoms in a psychiatric outpatient setting.

METHOD

Subjects

Subjects included both male and female psychiatric outpatients, all age 18 years or older. All subjects had exhibited one or more somatic symptoms during most days for at least 6 months. Also, they exhibited clinically significant distress or impaired social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning, caused by current somatic symptoms.

Exclusion criteria included any medical/surgical conditions that plausibly explained the somatic symptoms: rheumatic, inflammatory, or connective tissue disease; thyroid disease or a laboratory abnormality; a history of (and/or current) psychotic disorders, such as schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, and bipolar disorder; a history of substance abuse or dependence in the previous 12 months; a history of participation in any clinical research in the previous 30 days; any involvement in workers compensation, disability, or related litigation; any history or presence of an Axis I disorder, such as factitious disorder and malingering; current breast-feeding; and pregnancy.

The study procedures were reviewed and approved by the hospital institutional review board. All subjects provided written informed consent before participation.

Procedures

The questionnaire was based on the Korean version of the PHQ. The PHQ-15 was translated into Korean and translated back into English by a certified psychologist and a psychiatrist, who were each fluent in both Korean and English. The validated Korean version of the DSM-IV criteria were used as references during the process of translation. Translation and back-translation of the PHQ-15 were repeated after state-of-the art procedures of cross-cultural assessment until the clinicians felt that the Korean version corresponded closely to the English version and would be meaningful to elderly Koreans. Subjects were required to complete the PHQ-15 a second time 2 weeks after the baseline measurement.

The severity of somatic symptoms was measured by summing the scores from each PHQ-15 item (0-30 points) and classified as minimal, mild, moderate, or severe (scores 0-4, 5-9, 10-14, or 15-30, respectively, following the method of Kroenke et al.)¹⁴

Other Measures

Beck Depression Inventory The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) was developed to assess the type and degree of depression, on the basis of depression symptoms. The BDI has been used for more than three decades to identify and assess depressive symptoms and is reported to be highly reliable, regardless of the population involved. The questionnaire contains 21 items about emotional, cognitive, motivational, physiological, and other symptoms. Each item consists of four statements, describing increasing intensity of depression symptoms. Items are rated on a scale from 0 to 3, and they reflect how participants have felt over the past week. Possible scores range from 0 to 63, with higher scores indicating more severe depressive symptoms.²¹ The BDI-II²² was developed in 1996 to reflect changes in the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for depression. Some somatic items were removed in this revised scale; however, we applied the original 21-item BDI Korean version in this study. 23,24

General Health Questionnaire

The General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) is a measure of current mental health that was developed by Goldberg.²⁵ The questionnaire was originally set up as a 60item instrument, but several shortened versions are now available (GHQ-30, GHQ-28, GHQ-20, GHQ-12). Items are rated on a 4-point scale (less than usual, no more than usual, rather more than usual, or much more than usual). The GHQ-12 has a maximum total score of 36, or 12, depending on the selected scoring methods; The most common scoring methods are bimodal (0/0/1/1) and Likert (0/1/2/3) scoring. The GHQ-12 is brief, simple, and easy to complete, and studies have demonstrated its effectiveness as a screening tool in research settings. 26,27 Participants completed the GHQ-12 in the same time as the other scales. In this study, we applied the Korean version of the GHQ-12, with a maximum total score of 36.²⁸

Mini-International Neuropsychiatric interview (MINI)

The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) is a short, structured diagnostic interview developed to identify DSM-IV and ICD-10 psychiatric disorders.²⁹ It was designed to meet the need for a short but accurate structured psychiatric interview. Each MINI was conducted by a trained, certified clinical psychologist, and results were confirmed by a board-certified psychiatrist during a psychiatric interview.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS 10.0 for WINDOWS (SPSS, Inc, Chicago, IL). First, we calculated the mean and standard deviation (SD) for each item and performed a descriptive analysis of participants' demographic data. Second, to assess internal consistency and test-retest reliability, we calculated Cronbach α coefficient and correlation with retested PHQ-15 scores. Third, to verify convergent validity, we assessed the correlation of PHQ-15 scores with BDI and GHQ-12 scores. Simple linearregression analysis was performed to evaluate the predictability of the number of somatic symptoms or the BDI total score. Finally, we compared the mean total BDI and GHQ scores between PHQ severity subgroups, using oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) and post-hoc Bonferroni analysis. Power analysis was done with the G*Power 3.0.5 Program.³⁰

RESULTS

Subjects

A total of 57 psychiatric patients completed the survey; of these, 25 (43.9%) were men, and 32 (56.1%) were women. Subjects had a mean age of 38.74 (SD: 1.43) years (range: 18-62 years). All participants were of the same ethnic group (i.e., Korean), and were considered to share a common cultural background. Of all subjects, 31 (12.3%) were diagnosed with a depressive disorder; 20 had a somatoform disorder; and 6 had anxiety disorders (4 had a panic disorder, and 2 had a generalized anxiety disorder). Their mean illness duration was 33.0 (32.7) months. Subjects reported an average of 9.3 (SD: 3.8) somatic symptoms. All subjects were currently under treatment with antidepressants. Table 1 presents the demographic variables of subjects and their prescribed medications. Subjects with a depressive disorder had a lower mean BDI score than other participants, which might be explained by their current treatment and duration of illness. Age was marginally correlated with GHQ-12 scores (r=0.29; p<0.034) but was not correlated with PHQ-15 or BDI scores (p>0.05).

Reliability

Cronbach α was 0.87 (p<0.001), and the test-retest reliability was 0.65 (p<0.001). The item/total correlation (r) was 0.48.

TABLE 1. Summary of Demographic V	ariables, N (%)
Sex	
Male	25 (43.9
Female	32 (56.1
Diagnosis	
Depression	31 (54.4
Somatoform disorder	20 (35.1
Panic disorder	4 (7.0)
Generalized anxiety disorder	2 (3.5)
Medication	
Paroxetine	25 (43.9
Mirtazapine	11 (19.3
Fluoxetine	9 (15.8
Venlafaxine	6 (10.5
Sertraline	6 (10.5
Income, \$ (U.S.)	
<2,000/month	10 (17.5
2,000-4,000/month	46 (80.7
>4,000/month	1 (1.8)
Education	
<9 years	25 (44.1
9–12 years	27 (47.4
>12 years	5 (8.8)

TABLE 2.	Mean Scores of the PHQ-15, BDI, and GHQ-12 in
	Each Diagnostic Subgroup

	Mean (Standard Deviation)	95% Confidence Interval		
PHQ-15				
Depression	12.3 (6.2)	10.03-14.61		
Somatoform	11.9 (6.1)	9.04-14.76		
Panic	11.5 (7.2)	10.06-12.94		
GAD	12.0 (4.2)	10.1-13.12		
BDI				
Depression	16.7 (11.2)	12.6-20.8		
Somatoform	17.2 (13.0)	11.1-23.3		
Panic	16.3 (12.4)	3.5-35.97		
GAD	19.5 (9.2)	13.1-12.1		
GHQ-12				
Depression	13.8 (6.7)	11.2-16.4		
Somatoform	15.2 (7.4)	11.5-18.9		
Panic	15.3 (5.5)	11.7-19.0		
GAD	14.0 (1.4)	8.7-16.7		

PHQ: Patient Health Questionnaire; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; GHQ: General Health Questionnaire; GAD: generalized anxiety disorder.

Validity

The PHQ-15 and other scales revealed no significant differences among diagnostic subgroups (Table 2). PHQ-15 scores exhibited a significant correlation with BDI scores (r=0.559; p<0.01) and GHQ-12 scores (r=0.435; p<0.01). BDI scores were significantly correlated with GHQ-12 scores (r=0.589; p<0.01).

We used simple linear regression to assess whether

the reported number of somatic symptoms or BDI score could predict the severity indicated by PHQ-15 scores. The slope of the regression line for the number of somatic symptoms was greater than zero, indicating that PHQ-15 scores tend to increase as the number of somatic symptoms increases (slope: 1.536; t55: 23.1; p<0.001; y: -1.954 + 1.536x; R[2]=0.91). The slope of the regression line for BDI was greater than zero, indicating that PHQ-15 scores tend to increase as the BDI score increases (slope: 0.289; t55: 5.0; p<0.001; y: 7.259 + 0.289x; R[2]=0.31).

Comparison of BDI and GHQ Scores Among the Somatic Symptom Severity Subgroups

Subjects were classified into one of four severity groups according to their PHQ-15 scores (minimal [N=4; 10.5%]; mild [N=15; 26.3%]; moderate [N=11; 19.3%]; and severe [N=25; 43.9%]). Subjects with the highest PHQ-15 scores were classified into the Severe group (18.16; SD: 2.32); subjects with the next-highest scores were classified into the Moderate group (11.55; SD: 1.13), followed by the Mild (6.73; SD: 1.87) and Minimal (2.67; SD: 1.51) groups. As expected, the main group effect (F=164.68; df: 3; p<0.001), and all paired comparisons were highly significant (p<0.001). We also determined the mean BDI and GHQ-12 scores in each severity group. Group main effects were all highly significant (F=9.25; df: 3; p < 0.001 for BDI; F = 4.59; df: 3; p = 0.007 for GHQ-12). Significant differences in BDI and GHQ-12 scores appeared only within the Severe group (Table 3).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study revealed that the PHQ-15 is practical for measuring the severity of somatic complaints during the treatment of psychiatric outpatients. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the test-retest reliability of the PHQ-15 in a clinical sample with chronic somatic symptoms. The Korean version of the PHQ-15 exhibited good reliability in this study, and its internalconsistency reliability, defined as consistency among items comprising a discrete construct, was adequate. The test-retest stability was relatively high. When the concurrent validity was examined in relation to the BDI and GHQ-12, correlations between the scales further supported the validity of the PHQ-15. Our study did not find a correlation between the severity of somatic symptoms and age, which is consistent with a previous population study.31

TABLE 3. Construct Validity of the PHQ-15 Severity Subgroup by BDI and GHQ-12 (post-hoc comparisons)

			Paired Comparisons					
	F	р	Minimal/ Mild	Minimal/ Moderate	Minimal/ Severe	Mild/ Moderate	Mild/ Severe	Moderate/ Severe
BDI GHQ-12 PHQ-15	9.25 4.59 164.68	<0.001 <0.001 <0.001	-1.27 3.49 -4.07***	-7.85 8.59 -8.88***	-16.18** 9.28* -15.49***	-6.58 5.09 -4.81***	-14.92*** 5.79 -11.43***	-8.34 0.70 -6.62***

PHQ-15: Patient Health Questionnaire-15; BDI: Beck Depression Inventory; GHQ-12: General Health Questionnaire. Minimal severity group (0-4); Mild severity group (5-9); Moderate severity group (10-14); Severe severity group (15-30). Post-hoc comparison of mean differences (Bonferroni-corrected): *p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001.

Previous research has shown that life satisfaction and perceived health status are closely related to number of somatic symptoms. ³² In a study conducted in a psychiatric clinic, an increased number of somatic symptoms was associated with a delayed onset of response to the antidepressant fluoxetine in patients with depression. ³³ Therefore, treatment of somatic symptoms is crucial within both primary-care settings and psychiatric outpatient settings. Somatic symptoms in patients are usually measured using scales designed especially for depression or anxiety disorders, partly because somatic symptoms are frequently comorbid with other psychiatric symptoms. ⁶

When we compared the BDI and GHQ scores with the PHQ-15 Severity subgroups, we found significant differences only in the Severe group. This result may have been associated with the very long duration of illness (over 30 months) among these subjects, so that their symptoms were no longer related to a mood/anxiety disorder. Also, many patients have chronic, persistent somatic symptoms even after their depression/anxiety is successfully remitted with treatment, so the remaining symptoms cannot be measured appropriately using scales such as the BDI or GHQ-12. Somatic symptoms, especially chronic symptoms, should be measured using a scale designed specifically for somatic symptoms to evaluate treatment efficacy. Studies have already examined the usefulness of the PHO-15 in primary-care settings. 14 Scales for measuring the severity of somatic symptoms are especially useful in primary-care settings, and studies have already been conducted on the usefulness of the PHQ-15 in primary care settings.15

One important limitation of the present study is the relatively small number of subjects (overall sample size: N=54; power: 0.296, with two-tailed significance at p=0.05), and thereby the power of subgroup analysis should be much weaker than original power. The sample size should be increased three to four times that of our

current sample size to reach a sufficient power (N=184; power: 0.814, with two-tailed significance at p=0.05) to perform a subgroup analysis. Hence, the subgroup analysis results should be interpreted with caution. Another limitation is the fact that all subjects were being treated for their somatic symptoms. The results might have also been influenced by cultural differences; that is, East Asians often complain of somatic symptoms rather than reveal any depressive feelings.

In conclusion, this study showed that the Korean version of the PHQ-15 is valid and reliable in measuring the severity of somatic symptoms among psychiatric outpatients. The PHQ-15 could be used as an effective, efficient tool in measuring the treatment outcome of somatic symptoms. Moreover, it could be applied in a primary-care setting to detect unexplained somatic symptoms and to monitor changes in their severity. Future research will need to include validation studies for specific age-groups (e.g., elderly patients) and be performed within other medical/surgical patient settings.

Dr. Han has received research support from a Korea Research Foundation Grant (MOEHRD; KRF-2007-013-E00033) and from the Research Foundation of Korea Neuropsychiatric Association (2004 Jisan Lee SeJong Cultural Psychiatry Fund). Dr. Pae has received research support from GlaxoSmithKline. Dr. Patkar is a consultant for Bristol-Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, and Reckitt Benckiser, and is on the speaker's bureau of Bristol-Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline, and Reckitt Benckiser; has received research support from NIH, AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Forest, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, McNeil Consumer, and Specialty, Inc., Organon, Jazz Pharmaceuticals, and Pfizer. Dr. Masand is a consultant for Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Cephalon Inc., Eli Lilly and Company, Forest laboratories Inc., GlaxoSmithKline, i3CME, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Jazz Pharmaceuticals,

Organon, Pfizer, Inc., Targacept Inc., and Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, and is on the speaker's bureau of Astra-Zeneca, Bristol Myers Squibb Company, Forest Laboratories, Inc., GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen Pharmaceutica, Pfizer Inc., and Wyeth Pharmaceuticals; has received research support

from AstraZeneca, Bristol-Myers Squibb Company, Cephalon Inc., Eli Lilly and Company, Forest Laboratories Inc., GlaxoSmithKline, Ortho McNeil Pharmaceutical, Inc., Janssen Pharmaceutica, and Wyeth Pharmaceuticals.

References

- Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Kroenke K, et al: Utility of a new procedure for diagnosing mental disorders in primary care: The PRIME-MD 1000 study. JAMA 1994; 272:1749-1756
- Gureje O, Simon GE, Ustun TB, et al: Somatization in crosscultural perspective: a World Health Organization study in primary care. Am J Psychiatry 1997; 154:989-995
- 3. Katon W, Lin E, Von Korff M, et al: Somatization: a spectrum of severity. Am J Psychiatry 1991; 148:34–40
- Schappert SM: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: 1989 Summary. Vital Health Stat 13 1992:1–80
- Hartz AJ, Noyes R, Bentler SE, et al: Unexplained symptoms in primary care: perspectives of doctors and patients. Gen Hosp Psychiatry 2000; 22:144-152
- Kroenke K: Patients presenting with somatic complaints: epidemiology, psychiatric comorbidity, and management. Int J Meth Psychiatr Res 2003; 12:34–43
- Bair MJ, Robinson RL, Eckert GJ, et al: Impact of pain on depression treatment response in primary care. Psychosom Med 2004; 66:17-22
- Smith GR Jr, Monson RA, Ray DC: Patients with multiple unexplained symptoms: their characteristics, functional health, and healthcare utilization. Arch Intern Med 1986; 146:69-72
- Terre L, Poston WS, Foreyt J, et al: Do somatic complaints predict subsequent symptoms of depression? Psychother Psychosom 2003; 72:261–267
- Khan AA, Khan A, Harezlak J, et al: Somatic symptoms in primary care: etiology and outcome. Psychosomatics 2003; 44: 471-478
- Derogatis LR: Manual for The Symptom Checklist-90, Revised. Minneapolis, MN, Pearson Assessment, 1994
- Cox DJ, Freundlich A, Meyer RG: Differential effectiveness of electromyograph feedback, verbal relaxation instructions, and medication placebo with tension headaches. J Consult Clin Psychol 1975; 43:892–898
- Chibnall JT, Tait RC: The Psychosomatic Symptom Checklist revisited: reliability and validity in a chronic pain population. J Behav Med 1989; 12:297–307
- Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JB: The PHQ-15: validity of a new measure for evaluating the severity of somatic symptoms. Psychosom Med 2002; 64:258-266
- Interian A, Allen LA, Gara MA, et al: Somatic complaints in primary care: further examining the validity of the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-15). Psychosomatics 2006; 47:392-398
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB: Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ Primary Care Study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders Patient Health Questionnaire. JAMA 1999; 282:1737-1744
- American Psychiatric Association: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Psychiatric Disease, 4th Edition (DSM-IV). Washington, DC, American Psychiatric Publishing, 1994
- 18. Spitzer RL, Williams JB, Kroenke K, et al: Validity and utility of

- the PRIME-MD Patient Health Questionnaire in assessment of 3,000 obstetric-gynecologic patients: the PRIME-MD Patient Health Questionnaire obstetrics-gynecology study. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2000; 183:759-769
- Cho MJ, Kim JK, Jeon HJ, et al: Lifetime and 12-month prevalence of DSM-IV psychiatric disorders among Korean adults.
 J Nerv Ment Dis 2007; 195:203-210
- Bracken BA, Barona A: State-of-the-art procedures for translating, validating, and using psychoeducational tests in cross-cultural assessment. School Psychol Int 1991; 12:119–132
- Beck AT, Steer RA: Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory.
 San Antonio, TX, Psychological Corporation, 1993
- Beck AT, Steer RA, Brown GK: Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory–II. San Antonio, TX, Psychological Corporation, 1996
- Hahn HM, Yum TH, Shin YW, et al: A standardization study of the Beck Depression Inventory. J Korean Neuropsychiatr Assoc 1986; 25:487–500
- 24. Jo SA, Park MH, Jo I, et al: Usefulness of the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) in the Korean elderly population. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2007; 22:218–223
- Goldberg D: General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12). Windsor, UK, NFER-Nelson, 1992
- 26. Pevalin DJ: Multiple applications of the GHQ-12 in a general population sample: an investigation of long-term retest effects. Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol 2000; 35:508-512
- Montazeri A, Harirchi AM, Shariati M, et al: The 12-item General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-12): translation and validation study of the Iranian version (abstract). Health Qual Life Outcomes 2003; 1:66
- Yoon JS, Kook SH, Lee HY, et al: The development of a Korean modification of the scale to measure subjective well-being under neuroleptic treatment (KmSWN). J Korean Neuropsychiatr Assoc 2000; 39:987–998
- 29. Sheehan DV, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, et al: The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. J Clin Psychiatry 1998; 59(Suppl 20):22-33; Quiz 34-57
- 30. Faul F, Erdfelder E, Lang AG, et al: G*Power 3: a flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. Behav Res Methods 2007; 39:175–191
- 31. Haug TT, Mykletun A, Dahl AA: The association between anxiety, depression, and somatic symptoms in a large population: The Hunt-II Study. Psychosom Med 2004; 66:845–851
- 32. Al-Windi A: The relations between symptoms, somatic and psychiatric conditions, life satisfaction, and perceived health: a primary care-based study (abstract). Health Qual Life Outcomes 2005; 3:28
- Papakostas GI, Petersen TJ, losifescu DV, et al: Somatic symptoms as predictors of time to onset of response to fluoxetine in major depressive disorder. J Clin Psychiatry 2004; 65:543–546